

# AMITSUR COHOMOLOGY OF CUBIC EXTENSIONS OF ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS

BY  
DAVID E. DOBBS<sup>†</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Let  $K$  be the rational field  $Q$  or a complex quadratic number field other than  $Q(\sqrt{-3})$ . Let  $L$  be a normal three-dimensional field extension of  $K$ . If  $R$  and  $S$  are the rings of algebraic integers of  $K$  and  $L$  respectively, then the Amitsur cohomology group  $H^2(S/R, U)$  is trivial. Inflation and class numbers give information about cohomology arising from certain nonnormal cubic extensions.

## 1. Introduction

As in [4] and [5], we are interested in the Amitsur cohomology group  $H^2(S/R, U)$  arising from an extension  $R \subset S$  of rings of algebraic integers. Although this group is finite and a bound can be given for its order [4, Prop. 2.1], it has been computed only in case  $R=Z$  and  $S$  is quadratic (see [7] and [5]). In this paper, we pursue further the method of [5] and study  $H^2(S/R, U)$  by means of its subgroup  $H^1(S/R, UK/U)$ . We begin in Section 2 with some cocycle computations. These are used in the proof of the main technical result, Theorem 3.2, which involves the structure of the group  $U(R)$  of units of  $R$  and the Galois theory of the extension  $K \subset L$  of quotient fields of  $R$  and  $S$ . As an application, Theorem 4.1 asserts  $H^2(S/R, U) = 0$  in case  $L/K$  is three-dimensional normal and  $K$  is either  $Q$  or a complex quadratic other than  $Q(\sqrt{-3})$ . As explained in Example 4.3, the inflation results of [4] and [5], in conjunction with class number tables, can then be used to infer vanishing of  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  for several nonnormal cubics  $L/Q$  to which the criterion in [4, Remark 4.3] fails to apply.

---

<sup>†</sup> This work was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-28409.

Received June 25, 1972

We employ the standard terminology concerning Amitsur cohomology and assume familiarity with the methods and notation of [5].

**2. Odd-dimensional extensions**

The *standing hypotheses for this section* are that  $R$  is a domain with quotient field  $K$ , that  $S$  is a flat  $R$ -subalgebra of a field extension  $L$  of  $K$  and that multiplication induces an isomorphism  $S \otimes_R K \xrightarrow{\cong} L$ .

As usual, write  $L^i = \bigotimes_K^i L$  and  $S^i = \bigotimes_R^i S$ . Since flatness allows us to view  $S^i \subset L^i$ , we may identify the  $i$ -th cochain group of the Amitsur complex  $C(S/R, UK/U)$  with  $U(L^{i+1})/U(S^{i+1})$ . In particular, if we define

$$C(S, R) = \{ \xi \in U(L^2) : d^1(\xi) \in U(S^3) \subset U(L^3) \}$$

where  $d^*$  is the coboundary map of  $C(L/K, U)$ , then the first cocycle group of  $C(S/R, UK/U)$  is  $\{ \xi \cdot U(S^2) : \xi \in C(S, R) \}$ . For  $\xi \in C(S, R)$ , let  $[\xi]$  denote the cohomology class of  $\xi \cdot U(S^2)$  in  $H^1(S/R, UK/U)$ . Finally, let  $t : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  be the  $K$ -algebra isomorphism satisfying  $t(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $\xi \in C(S, R)$ . Then  $t(\xi) \in C(S, R)$  and  $\xi t(\xi) \in U(S^2)$ . Hence  $[\xi] = -[t(\xi)]$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\xi = \sum \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i$ . Then  $d^1(\xi) = \varepsilon_0(\xi)\varepsilon_1(\xi)^{-1}\varepsilon_2(\xi)$

$$= (\sum 1 \otimes \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i) (\sum \alpha_i \otimes 1 \otimes \beta_i)^{-1} (\sum \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i \otimes 1) \in U(S^3) \subset U(L^3).$$

Let  $k$  be the twist homomorphism  $L^3 \rightarrow L^3$  given by  $k(x \otimes y \otimes z) = z \otimes y \otimes x$ . Since  $k(S^3) \subset S^3$ , we have  $k(d^1(\xi)) = \varepsilon_2(t(\xi))\varepsilon_1(t(\xi))^{-1}\varepsilon_0(t(\xi)) = d^1(t(\xi)) \in U(S^3)$ ; i.e.,  $t(\xi) \in C(S, R)$ .

Next, apply the contraction homomorphism  $c : L^3 \rightarrow L^2$ , given by  $c(x \otimes y \otimes z) = xz \otimes y$ , to  $d^1(\xi)$  to get  $t(\xi)(\sum \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes 1)^{-1} \xi \in c(U(S^3)) \subset U(S^2)$ . However, applying the contraction  $L^3 \rightarrow L$  to  $d^1(\xi)$  shows  $\sum \alpha_i \beta_i \in U(S)$ . Hence  $\sum \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes 1 \in U(S^2)$ , and so  $\xi t(\xi) \in U(S^2)$ . Finally,  $[\xi] = -[t(\xi)]$  since  $[\xi] + [t(\xi)] = [\xi t(\xi)] = 0$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Assume that  $L$  is an odd-dimensional Galois extension of*

$K$  and that  $S$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L$ . Let  $\xi \in C(S, R)$ . Then  $[\xi] = 0 \Leftrightarrow$  there exists  $l \in U(L)$  such that  $\xi(t(\xi))^{-1}(l \otimes l^{-1}) \in U(S^2)$ .

PROOF. By [5, Th. 3.8],  $[L : K]^2[\xi] = 0$ . Since  $[L : K]^2$  is odd,  $[\xi] = 0 \Leftrightarrow 2[\xi] = 0 \Leftrightarrow [\xi] = -[\xi] = [t(\xi)] \Leftrightarrow [\xi(t(\xi))^{-1}] = 0 \Leftrightarrow$  there exists  $l \in U(L)$  such that  $\xi(t(\xi))^{-1}(l \otimes l^{-1}) \in U(S^2)$ .

### 3. Vanishing of cohomology

The standing hypotheses for this section are that  $R$  is a domain with quotient field  $K$ , that  $L$  is a (finite)  $n$ -dimensional Galois field extension of  $K$  with Galois group  $G$ , and that  $S$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in  $L$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. Assume that  $R$  is integrally closed,  $S$  is a flat  $R$ -module and  $G$  is cyclic. Then:

i) There is an exact sequence of abelian groups  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow H^1(S/R, UK/U) \rightarrow U(R)/N(U(S))$ , where  $N$  is the field norm  $U(L) \rightarrow U(K)$  and  $X$  satisfies  $n \cdot X = 0$ .

ii) Assume that for every  $r \in U(R)$ , there exists a positive integer  $m$  which is relatively prime to  $n$  and satisfies  $r^m = 1$ . Then  $n \cdot H^1(S/R, UK/U) = 0$ .

PROOF. (i) Since  $R$  is integrally closed, the multiplication map  $S \otimes_R K \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism. The proof of [5, Th. 3.8] therefore provides an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow H^1(S/R, UK/U) \rightarrow H^1(S/R, (UK/U)^0)$  with  $n \cdot X = 0$ . However [5, Th. 3.1] embeds  $H^1(S/R, UK/U)^0$  in the group cohomology group  $H^1(G, (UK/U)(S)) = H^1(G, U(L)/U(S))$  which, by Hilbert's Theorem 90, itself embeds in  $H^2(G, U(S))$  via the connecting homomorphism. As  $U(S)^G = U(R)$ , the usual computation of cohomology for a cyclic group shows  $H^2(G, U(S)) = U(R)/N(U(S))$ , and (i) is proved.

ii) Since  $n^2 \cdot H^1(S/R, UK/U) = 0$  by [5, Th. 3.8], the hypotheses of (ii) imply that the composition  $H^1(S/R, UK/U) \rightarrow H^1(S/R, (UK/U)^0) \rightarrow U(R)/N(U(S))$  is the zero map. Hence  $H^1(S/R, UK/U) = X$ , and (ii) follows from (i).

THEOREM 3.2. Assume that  $R$  is integrally closed,  $S$  is a flat  $R$ -module,  $n=3$  and  $U(R)$  is a torsion group with no element of order 3. Then  $H^1(S/R, UK/U) = 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $\xi \in C(S, R)$ . We must show  $[\xi] = 0$ .

Let  $\xi = \sum \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i$  and  $G = \{1, g, g^2\}$ . Under the isomorphism  $U(L^2) \rightarrow \prod_G U(L) = U(L) \times U(L) \times U(L)$ , let  $\xi$  be sent to  $(a, b, c)$ ; i.e.

$$a = \sum \alpha_i \beta_i, \quad b = \sum \alpha_i g(\beta_i) \quad \text{and} \quad c = \sum \alpha_i g^2(\beta_i).$$

Now under the algebra isomorphism  $L^3 \rightarrow \prod_{G^2} L$ ,

$$d^1(\xi) = (\sum 1 \otimes \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i) (\sum \alpha_i \otimes 1 \otimes \beta_i)^{-1} (\sum \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i \otimes 1)$$

corresponds, after some computation, to  $(a, a, a, g(a), bg(b)c^{-1}, bg(c)a^{-1}, g^2(a), g^2(b)ca^{-1}, cg^2(c)b^{-1})$ . Since  $G$  maps  $S$  into itself and  $d^1(\xi) \in U(S^2)$ , it follows that each of the entries of this nine-tuple lies in  $U(S)$ .

As above, let  $N$  be the field norm  $U(L) \rightarrow U(K)$ . Since  $a \in U(S)$ , multiplying the fifth and eighth entries of the above nine-tuple shows

$$N(b) = bg(b)g^2(b) \in U(S) \cap K = U(R).$$

Considering the sixth and ninth entries shows  $N(c) \in U(R)$ .

*Case 1.*  $N(b) = N(c)$ . We shall apply the criterion in Corollary 2.2. Since  $N(g(c)) = N(c) = N(b)$ , Hilbert's Theorem 90 provides  $l \in U(L)$  such that  $g(c)b^{-1} = lg(l)^{-1}$ . Then  $cg^2(b)^{-1} = g^2(g(c)b^{-1}) = g^2(l)l^{-1}$ . Since  $t(\xi)$  corresponds to the triple  $(a, g(c), g^2(b)) \in \prod U(L)$ , we see that  $\xi t(\xi)^{-1} (l \otimes l^{-1})$  corresponds to  $(1, bg(c)^{-1}lg(l)^{-1}, cg^2(b)^{-1}lg^2(l)^{-1}) = (1, 1, 1)$ . Hence  $\xi t(\xi)^{-1} (l \otimes l^{-1}) = 1 \in U(S^2)$  and  $[\xi] = 0$ .

*General case.* As  $U(R)$  has no element of order 3, every element of  $U(R)$  has order relatively prime to 3. Then Proposition 3.1 (ii) implies  $3 \cdot H^1(S/R, UK/U) = 0$ . In particular,  $[\xi^3] = 3[\xi] = 0$ , and so there exists  $l \in U(L)$  such that  $\omega = \xi^3(l \otimes l^{-1}) \in U(S^2)$ .

Choose positive integers  $p$  and  $q$ , each congruent to 1 modulo 3, such that  $N(b)^{3p+1} = 1 = N(c)^{3q+1}$ . (For example, if the order  $v(b)$  of  $N(b)$  is congruent to 1 (resp., 2) modulo 3, take  $p$  so that  $3p + 1 = v(b)$ , (resp.,  $3p + 1 = 2v(b)$ )). If  $m = p + q + 3pq$ , then  $N(b)^{3m+1} = 1 = N(c)^{3m+1}$ .

Since  $\omega^m \in U(S^2)$ , we see that  $\omega^m \xi \in C(S, R)$  and  $[\omega^m \xi] = [\xi]$ . Observe that  $\omega^m \xi$  corresponds to the triple  $(a^{3m+1}, b^{3m+1}(lg(l)^{-1})^m, c^{3m+1}(lg^2(l^{-1}))^m)$ . However

$N(b^{3m+1}(lg(l^{-1}))^m) = 1 = N(c^{3m+1}(lg^2(l^{-1}))^m)$  and Case 1 applies to show  $[\omega^m \xi] = 0$ . Hence  $[\xi] = 0$ , completing the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Assume that  $R$  is Dedekind,  $n = 3$  and  $U(R)$  is a torsion group with no element of order 3. Then the canonical map [3] from  $H^2(S/R, U)$  to the split Brauer group  $B(S/R)$  is a monomorphism.*

**PROOF.** It is well-known that  $R$  is (integrally closed) regular and  $S$  is a module-finite faithful projective (flat)  $R$ -algebra. Theorem 3.2 and [5, Corollary 1.5] therefore apply, and complete the proof.

#### 4. Applications

We begin by applying Corollary 3.3 to rings of algebraic integers.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be the rational field  $Q$  or a complex quadratic number field other than  $Q(\sqrt{-3})$ . Let  $L$  be a normal three-dimensional field extension of  $K$ . If  $R$  and  $S$  are the rings of algebraic integers of  $K$  and  $L$  respectively, then  $H^2(S/R, U) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** We may apply Corollary 3.3 since  $L/K$  is Galois,  $R$  is Dedekind and the order of  $U(R)$  is either 2 or 4. Hence  $H^2(S/R, U) \rightarrow B(S/R)$  is a monomorphism. A well-known application of global class field theory asserts that  $B(S/R)$  vanishes, since it is annihilated by both 2 and  $[L:K] = 3$ . Thus,  $H^2(S/R, U) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $L$  be a nonnormal three-dimensional field extension of  $Q$ ,  $F$  a normal closure of  $L/Q$ , and  $K$  the unique quadratic subfield of  $F$ . Let  $R, S$  and  $T$  be the rings of algebraic integers of  $K, L$  and  $F$  respectively. Then:*

i)  $L = Q(\alpha)$  for some root  $\alpha$  of  $x^3 + px + q \in Q[x]$ . If  $D = -4p^3 - 27q^2$ , then  $K = Q(\sqrt{D})$ .

ii) The order of  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  divides  $3^{216}$ .

iii) There exist monomorphisms  $H^2(S/Z, U) \rightarrow H^2(T/Z, U)$  and  $H^2(T/Z, U) \rightarrow H^0(T/R, \text{Pic})$ . Hence, the orders of  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  and  $H^2(T/Z, U)$  each divide the class number of  $T$ .

iv) Assume that  $D < 0$  and  $K \neq Q(\sqrt{-3})$ . Then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H^1(T/R, U) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow H^0(T/R, \text{Pic}) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, the orders of  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  and  $H^2(T/Z, U)$  each divide the class number of  $R$ .

PROOF. As  $L/Q$  is not normal,  $[F:L] = 2$  and  $\text{Gal}(F/Q) = S_3$ , the unique nonabelian group of order 6. Since  $S_3$  has but one subgroup of index 2, Galois theory implies  $F$  has a unique quadratic subfield  $K$ .

i) By the primitive element theorem,  $L = Q(\alpha)$  for some root  $\alpha$  of an irreducible monic polynomial  $f(x) \in Q[x]$ . By a linear substitution, we may assume  $f(x) = x^3 + px + q$ . As  $L/Q$  is nonnormal, it is well-known that

$$D = -4p^3 - 27q^2$$

is not the square of a rational number. To establish (i), it therefore suffices to show  $\sqrt{D} \in F$ .

Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be the other roots of  $f(x)$  in  $F$ . Since  $\alpha^3 + p\alpha = \beta^3 + p\beta (= -q)$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , we have  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha\beta + p = 0$ , and an application of the quadratic formula yields  $\sqrt{-3\alpha^2 - 4p} \in F$ . Similarly  $\sqrt{-3\beta^2 - 4p}$  and  $\sqrt{-3\gamma^2 - 4p}$  lie in  $F$ . By using the algorithm that expresses symmetric functions in terms of elementary symmetric functions, we find  $(-3\alpha^2 - 4p)(-3\beta^2 - 4p)(-3\gamma^2 - 4p) = -27q^2 - 36p^3 + 96p^3 - 64p^3 = D$ , and (i) is proved.

ii) As the multiplicative group of roots of unity inside  $T$  is cyclic, the Dirichlet unit theorem implies that  $U(T)$  can be generated by at most  $1 + 5 = 6$  elements. Then [4, Prop. 2.1] shows  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  is a finite group of order at most  $3^{6(3^1)^2} = 3^{216}$ . However  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  is 3-torsion [1, Th. 6] and, hence, has order a power of 3, thus proving (ii).

iii) By [4, Remark 3.3] or [5, Th. 2.7], the kernel of the inflation map  $\text{inf}: H^2(S/Z, U) \rightarrow H^2(T/Z, U)$  is annihilated by 4. Since  $H^2(S/Z, U)$  is 3-torsion,  $\text{inf}$  is a monomorphism.

Since  $Q$  has but one real place, global class field theory implies that the Brauer group  $B(Z) = 0$ . As  $\text{Pic}(Z) = 0$ , the Chase-Rosenberg exact sequence [3, Th. 7.6] therefore shows  $H^2(T/Z, U) \cong H^0(T/Z, \text{Pic})$ . Since  $H^0(T/Z, \text{Pic}) \subset H^0(T/R, \text{Pic}) \subset \text{Pic}(T)$  and the class number of  $T$  is the order of  $\text{Pic}(T)$ , (iii) now follows.

iv) Since  $D < 0$ , (i) shows  $K$  is complex. As  $K \neq Q(\sqrt{-3})$ , Theorem 4.1 implies  $H^2(T/R, U) = 0$ . Then (iv) follows readily from (iii) and the Chase-Rosenberg exact sequence.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let  $S$  be the ring of algebraic integers of the cubic number

field  $L$  generated over  $Q$  by a root of the irreducible polynomial  $x^3 + px + q = x^3 + 6x + 6$  (resp.,  $x^3 + 6x + 1$ ,  $x^3 + 7x + 7$ ,  $x^3 - 3x + 8$ ,  $x^3 + 6x + 8$ ). Now,  $L/Q$  is not normal (since  $-4p^3 - 27q^2$  is not the square of a rational number) and  $S$  has class number 3 (see the tables in [8]). Moreover, the criterion in [4, Remark 4.3] gives no information about  $H^2(S/Z, U)$ . However, using Theorem 4.2 (i), we see that the related quadratic field is  $K = Q(\sqrt{-m})$  where  $m = 51$  (resp., 11, 55, 5, 2). From tables in [2], the class number of the algebraic integers of  $K$  is 2 (resp., 1, 4, 2, 1). Apply Theorem 4.2 (ii) and (iv) to conclude  $H^2(S/Z, U) = 0$ .

There are cases not resolved by Theorem 4.2 (iv), however. For example, the ring of algebraic integers arising from a root of  $x^3 + 4x + 6$  and the ring of algebraic integers of the corresponding quadratic  $Q(\sqrt{-307})$  each have class number 3.

EXAMPLE 4.4. In view of the preceding examples, it seems worthwhile to observe some applications of [4, Remark 4.3] which do not follow from Theorem 4.2 (iv).

a) First, take  $p = 4$  and  $q = 1$  (in the notation of Theorem 4.2). Then  $S$  has class number 2 [8, p.76] and, since  $B(Z) = 0$ , [4, Remark 4.3] implies  $H^2(S/Z, U) = 0$ . Note that Theorem 4.2 (iv) does not yield this information, since  $K = Q(\sqrt{-283})$  and tables in [2] show that the class number of  $R$  is 3.

b) For a noncubic application, let  $R \subset S$  be the rings of algebraic integers of subfields  $K \subset L$  of the cyclotomic field  $F$  generated (over  $Q$ ) by a primitive  $2^m$ -th root of unity. Then the canonical map  $H^2(S/R, U) \rightarrow B(S/R)$  is a monomorphism. For the proof, let  $T$  be the ring of algebraic integers of  $F$ . By [9, Satz C, p. 244], the class number of  $T$  is odd. However [6, Satz, p. 93] shows that the class number of  $S$  divides that of  $T$  and, hence, is also odd. Since  $[L : K][F : Q] = \phi(2^m) = 2^{m-1}$ , [4, Remark 4.3] applies to complete the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Complexes of rings*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), 143-154.
2. Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number Theory* (English translation), Academic Press, New York, 1966.
3. S. U. Chase and A. Rosenberg, *Amitsur cohomology and the Brauer group*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 52, 1965.
4. D. E. Dobbs, *Amitsur cohomology of algebraic number rings*, Pacific J. Math. **39** (1971), 631-635.

5. D. E. Dobbs, *On inflation and torsion of Amitsur cohomology*, *Canad. J. Math.* **XXIV** (1972), 239–260.
6. P. Fürtwangler, *Über die Klassenzahlen Abelscher Zahlkörper*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **134** (1908), 91–94.
7. R. A. Morris, *On the Brauer group of  $\mathbb{Z}$* , *Pacific J. Math.* **39** (1971), 619–630.
8. L. W. Reid, *A table of class numbers for cubic number fields*, *Amer. J. Math.* **23** (1901), 68–84.
9. H. Weber, *Theorie der Abelschen Zahlkörper*, *Acta Math.* **8** (1886), 193–263.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

NEW BRUNSWICK, N. J. 08903, U.S.A.